arXiv:1501.07104v5 [math.RA] 8 Apr 2015

A NEW CLASS OF MATRIX ALGEBRAS

Jenő Szigeti

Abstract. Using an endomorphism $\delta : R \longrightarrow R$ of a ring R and an invertible matrix $W \in \mathrm{GL}_n(R)$, we construct the subring $\mathrm{M}_n(R,\delta,W,W^{-1})$ of the full $n\times n$ matrix ring $\mathrm{M}_n(R)$. If $\delta^n = \mathrm{id}_R$, then for a cyclic permutation matrix H and for a special invertible diagonal matrix G, we exhibit the natural embeddings $\overline{\delta} : R \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_n(R,\delta,H,H^{-1})$, $\delta^{\otimes} : R[w,\delta] \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_n(R[z],\delta_z,H,H^{-1})$ and $\widetilde{\delta} : R \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_n(R,\delta,G,G^{-1})$, where $R[w,\delta]$ is a skew polynomial ring. If W and W^{-1} are over the centre $\mathrm{Z}(R)$, then we prove that $\mathrm{M}_n(R,\delta,W,W^{-1})$ is closed with respect to taking the (pre)adjoint. If R is Lie nilpotent and $A \in \mathrm{M}_n(R,\delta,W,W^{-1})$, then the preadjoint and the corresponding right determinants and right characteristic polynomials provide a Cayley-Hamilton identity (of degree n^k) for A with right coefficients in the fixed ring $\mathrm{Fix}(\delta)$. If R is Lie nilpotent and $\delta^n = \mathrm{id}_R$, then we prove that R is right integral over $\mathrm{Fix}(\delta)$. The ring $\mathrm{M}_n^g(R)$ of the so called graded $n\times n$ matrices is considered over a $\mathbb{Z}_n$-graded base ring $R = R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_{n-1}$. We use an embedding $\gamma : R \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_n^g(R)$ to prove that a Lie nilpotent R is right integral over R_0. The use of graded $n\times n$ matrices enables us to prove that for a Lie nilpotent R, the condition $\delta^n = \mathrm{id}_R$ implies that $R[w,\delta]$ is right integral over $\mathrm{Fix}(\delta)[w^n]$. The classical supermatrix algebras over the Grassmann algebra E appear in the form $\mathrm{M}_n(E,\varepsilon,D,D^{-1})$, where ε is the natural automorphism of E and D is an invertible diagonal matrix.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper a ring R means a not necessarily commutative ring with identity, all subrings inherit and all endomorphisms preserve the identity. The group of units in R is denoted by $\mathrm{U}(R)$ and the centre of R is denoted by $\mathrm{Z}(R)$.

In Section 2 we give a natural definition for the transitivity of an $n\times n$ matrix over R. A complete description of such transitive matrices is provided. The "blow-up" construction gives an easy way to build bigger transitive matrices starting from a given one. We prove that the Hadamard multiplication by a transitive matrix $T \in \mathrm{M}_n(\mathrm{Z}(R))$ gives an automorphisms of the full $n\times n$ matrix algebra $\mathrm{M}_n(R)$.

In Section 3 we use an endomorphism $\delta : R \longrightarrow R$ and the conjugation by an invertible matrix $W \in \mathrm{GL}_n(R)$, to define the subalgebra $\mathrm{M}_n(R,\delta,W,W^{-1})$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 15A15, 15B33, 16S50.

The author thanks Claudio Procesi for his valuable comments on an earlier version of this. paper, which helped to produce a more general setting.

The author was supported by OTKA K-101515 of Hungary.

This research was carried out as part of the TAMOP-4.2.1.B-10/2/KONV-2010-0001 project. with support by the European Union, co-financed by the European Social Fund.

of $\mathrm{M}_n(R)$ consisting of the so called (δ, W)-matrices. First we observe that the Hadamard multiplication by a transitive matrix $T \in \mathrm{M}_n(\mathrm{Z}(R))$ is a conjugation in $\mathrm{M}_n(R)$ by an invertible diagonal matrix D determined by the first column of T. We use the notation $\mathrm{M}_n(R, \delta, T) = \mathrm{M}_n(R, \delta, D, D^{-1})$ for the algebra of (δ, D)-matrices.

If $\delta^n = \mathrm{id}_R$, then we exhibit the natural embeddings

$$\overline{\delta} : R \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_n(R, \delta, H, H^{-1}),\ \ \delta^{\otimes} : R[w, \delta] \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_n(R[z], \delta_z, H, H^{-1})$$

and $\widetilde{\delta} : R \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_n(R, \delta, G, G^{-1})$, where $R[z]$ is an ordinary polynomial ring, $R[w, \delta]$ is a skew polynomial ring, H is a cyclic permutation matrix and G is a special invertible diagonal matrix in $\mathrm{M}_n(R)$. Using the above $\delta^{\otimes}$ and imposing certain PI (polynomial identity) conditions on R, we derive similar PI properties for $R[w, \delta]$.

Section 4 is devoted to the study of the right and left (and symmetric) determinants and the corresponding right and left (and symmetric) characteristic polynomials of (δ, W)-matrices. If the entries of W and W^{-1} are central, then we prove that $\mathrm{M}_n(R, \delta, W, W^{-1})$ is closed with respect to taking the preadjoint. As a consequence, we obtain that the coefficients of the mentioned characteristic polynomials are in the fixed ring $\mathrm{Fix}(\delta)$ of δ. If R is Lie nilpotent of index k, then we derive that any matrix $A \in \mathrm{M}_n(R, \delta, W, W^{-1})$ satisfies a Cayley-Hamilton identity (of degree n^k) with right coefficients in $\mathrm{Fix}(\delta)$. If R is Lie nilpotent of index k and $\delta^n = \mathrm{id}_R$, then the use of $\overline{\delta}$ gives that R is right integral (of degree n^k) over $\mathrm{Fix}(\delta)$.

In Section 5 we deal with the ring $\mathrm{M}_n^g(R)$ of the so called graded $n \times n$ matrices over a $\mathbb{Z}_n$-graded base ring $R = R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_{n-1}$. First we exhibit a natural embedding $\gamma : R \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_n^g(R)$. Then we prove that $\mathrm{M}_n^g(R)$ is closed with respect to taking the preadjoint. We proceed in a similar way as in Section 4 and show that the right characteristic polynomials of a graded matrix are in $R_0[x]$. If R is Lie nilpotent of index k, then the use of γ gives that R is right integral over R_0 of degree n^k. Using $\delta^{\otimes}$ and the results in Sections 3,4 and 5, for a Lie nilpotent R of index k and for an automorphism with $\delta^n = \mathrm{id}_R$, we prove that the skew polynomial ring $R[w, \delta]$ is right integral (of degree n^k) over $\mathrm{Fix}(\delta)[w^n]$.

In Section 6 we explain in detail how the results of Section 4 generalize the earlier results in [S2]. The so called supermatrix algebra $\mathrm{M}_{n,d}(E)$ over the infinite dimensional Grassmann algebra E appears as $\mathrm{M}_n(E, \varepsilon, P(d, n))$, where $P(d, n)$ is a special $n \times n$ transitive matrix. In order to demonstrate the importance of the above supermatrix algebras, we mention their role in Kemer's theory of T-ideals (see [K]). New examples of similar matrix algebras over E are presented in 6.2 and 6.3. These examples show that the matrix algebras introduced in Section 3 form a rich class of algebras.

2. TRANSITIVE MATRICES

Let $\mathrm{M}_n(R)$ denote the ring of $n \times n$ matrices over a (not necessarily commutative) ring R with 1. A matrix $T = [t_{i,j}]$ in $\mathrm{M}_n(R)$ is called *transitive* if

$$t_{i,i} = 1 \text{ and } t_{i,j} t_{j,k} = t_{i,k} \text{ for all } i, j, k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}.$$

Notice that $t_{i,j} t_{j,i} = t_{i,i} = 1$ and $t_{j,i} t_{i,j} = t_{j,j} = 1$ imply that $t_{i,j}$ and $t_{j,i}$ are (multiplicative) inverses of each other.

2.1. Proposition. *For a matrix $T \in \mathrm{M}_n(R)$ the following are equivalent.*
(1) *T is transitive.*

(2) *There exists a sequence* $g_i \in \mathrm{U}(R)$, $1 \le i \le n$ *of invertible elements such that* $t_{i,j} = g_i g_j^{-1}$ *for all* $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. *If* $h_i \in \mathrm{U}(R)$, $1 \le i \le n$ *is an other sequence with* $t_{i,j} = h_i h_j^{-1}$, *then* $h_i = g_i c$ *for some constant* $c \in \mathrm{U}(R)$.

Proof. (1)$\Longrightarrow$(2): Take $g_i = t_{i,1}$, then the transitivity of T ensures that $t_{i,j} = t_{i,1}t_{1,j} = t_{i,1}t_{j,1}^{-1} = g_i g_j^{-1}$. Clearly, $t_{i,1} = g_i g_1^{-1} = h_i h_1^{-1}$ implies that $h_i = g_i c$, where $c = g_1^{-1}h_1$.
(2)$\Longrightarrow$(1): Now $t_{i,i} = g_i g_i^{-1} = 1$ and $t_{i,j}t_{j,k} = g_i g_j^{-1} g_j g_k^{-1} = g_i g_k^{-1} = t_{i,k}$. □

2.2. Proposition ("blow up"). *For a transitive matrix* $T = [t_{i,j}]$ *in* $\mathrm{M}_n(R)$ *and for a sequence* $0 = d_0 < d_1 < \cdots < d_{n-1} < d_n = m$ *of integers define an* $m \times m$ *matrix* $\widehat{T} = [\widehat{t}_{p,q}]$ *(the blow up of* T*) as follows:*

$$\widehat{t}_{p,q} = t_{i,j} \text{ if } d_{i-1} < p \le d_i \text{ and } d_{j-1} < q \le d_j.$$

The above $\widehat{T}$ *is a transitive matrix in* $\mathrm{M}_m(R)$. *If necessary, we use the notation* $T(d_1, \ldots, d_{n-1}, d_n)$ *instead of* $\widehat{T}$.

Proof. $\widehat{T} = [T_{i,j}]$ can be considered as an $n \times n$ matrix of blocks, the size of the block $T_{i,j}$ in the (i,j) position is $(d_i - d_{i-1}) \times (d_j - d_{j-1})$ and each entry of $T_{i,j}$ is $t_{i,j}$. The integers $p, q, r \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ uniquely determine the indices $i, j, k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ satisfying $d_{i-1} < p \le d_i$, $d_{j-1} < q \le d_j$ and $d_{k-1} < r \le d_k$. The definition of $\widehat{T} = [\widehat{t}_{p,q}]$ and the transitivity of T ensure that

$$\widehat{t}_{p,q}\widehat{t}_{q,r} = t_{i,j}t_{j,k} = t_{i,k} = \widehat{t}_{p,r}.$$

Thus the $m \times m$ matrix $\widehat{T}$ is also transitive. □

2.3. Examples. For an invertible element $u \in \mathrm{U}(R)$, the sequence $g_i = u^{i-1}$, $1 \le i \le n$ in Proposition 2.1 gives an $n \times n$ matrix $P^{(u)} = [p_{i,j}]$ with $p_{i,j} = u^{i-j}$. The choice $u = 1$ yields the Hadamard identity H_n (each entry of H_n is 1). If $n = 2$ and $u = -1$, then we obtain the following 2×2 matrix

$$P^{(-1)} = P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For $d_1 = d$ and $d_2 = m$, the blow up

$$\widehat{P} = P(d,m) = \begin{bmatrix} P_{1,1} & P_{1,2} \\ P_{2,1} & P_{2,2} \end{bmatrix},$$

of P (see Proposition 2.2) contains the square blocks $P_{1,1}$ and $P_{2,2}$ of sizes $d \times d$ and $(m-d) \times (m-d)$ and the rectangular blocks $P_{1,2}$ and $P_{2,1}$ of sizes $d \times (m-d)$ and $(m-d) \times d$. Each entry of $P_{1,1}$ and $P_{2,2}$ is 1 and each entry of $P_{1,2}$ and $P_{2,1}$ is -1. Thus $P^{(u)}$, H_n, P and $P(d,m)$ are examples of transitive matrices. □

The *Hadamard product* of the matrices $A = [a_{i,j}]$ and $B = [b_{i,j}]$ in $\mathrm{M}_n(R)$ is defined as $A * B = [a_{i,j}b_{i,j}]$.

2.4. Proposition. *Let* $T = [t_{i,j}]$ *be a matrix in* $\mathrm{M}_n(\mathrm{Z}(R))$, *where* $\mathrm{Z}(R)$ *denotes the center of* R. *The following conditions are equivalent:*
(1) T *is transitive,*
(2) *for* $A \in \mathrm{M}_n(R)$ *the map (Hadamard multiplication by* T*)* $\Theta_T(A) = T * A$ *is an automorphism of the matrix algebra* $\mathrm{M}_n(R)$ *(over* $\mathrm{Z}(R)$*).*

Proof. (1)$\Longrightarrow$(2): In order to prove the multiplicative property of Θ_T, it is enough to check that $T*(AB)=(T*A)(T*B)$ for all $A,B\in \mathrm{M}_n(R)$. Indeed, the (i,j) entries of $(T*A)(T*B)$ and $T*(AB)$ are equal:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} t_{i,k}a_{i,k}t_{k,j}b_{k,j}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} t_{i,k}t_{k,j}a_{i,k}b_{k,j}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} t_{i,j}a_{i,k}b_{k,j}=t_{i,j}\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{i,k}b_{k,j}.$$

The inverse of Θ_T is $\Theta_T^{-1}(A)=S*A$, where $S=[t_{i,j}^{-1}]$ is also transitive in $\mathrm{M}_n(\mathrm{Z}(R))$. (2)$\Longrightarrow$(1): Now $t_{i,i}=1$ is a consequence of $T*I_n=I_n$. Using the standard matrix units $E_{i,j}$ and $E_{j,k}$ in $\mathrm{M}_n(R)$, the multiplicative property of Θ_T gives that

$$\begin{aligned} t_{i,k}E_{i,k}=T*E_{i,k}&=T*(E_{i,j}E_{j,k})=(T*E_{i,j})(T*E_{j,k})\\ &=(t_{i,j}E_{i,j})(t_{j,k}E_{j,k})=t_{i,j}t_{j,k}E_{i,k}, \end{aligned}$$

whence $t_{i,k}=t_{i,j}t_{j,k}$ follows. □

3. MATRIX ALGEBRAS AND EMBEDDINGS

If $\Delta,\Theta:S\longrightarrow S$ are endomorphisms of the ring S, then the subset

$$S(\Delta=\Theta)=\{s\in S\mid \Delta(s)=\Theta(s)\}$$

is a subring of S (notice that $\Delta(1)=\Theta(1)=1$). Let $\mathrm{Fix}(\Delta)=S(\Delta=\mathrm{id}_S)$ denote the subring of the fixed elements of Δ.

If $\delta:R\longrightarrow R$ is an endomorphism and $W\in \mathrm{GL}_n(R)=\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{M}_n(R))$ is an invertible matrix, then take

$$S(\Delta=\Theta)=\mathrm{M}_n(R)(\delta_n=\Theta)=\{A\in \mathrm{M}_n(R)\mid \delta_n(A)=WAW^{-1}\},$$

where $S=\mathrm{M}_n(R)$, $\Delta=\delta_n:\mathrm{M}_n(R)\longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_n(R)$ is the natural extension of δ and $\Theta(A)=WAW^{-1}$ is the conjugation by W. Our notation for $\mathrm{M}_n(R)(\delta_n=\Theta)$ is

$$\mathrm{M}_n(R,\delta,W,W^{-1})=\{A\in \mathrm{M}_n(R)\mid \delta_n(A)=WAW^{-1}\}.$$

If $C\subseteq \mathrm{Z}(R)\cap \mathrm{Fix}(\delta)$ is a (commutative) subring, then $\mathrm{M}_n(R,\delta,W,W^{-1})$ is a C-subalgebra of $\mathrm{M}_n(R)$. The elements of the matrix algebra $\mathrm{M}_n(R,\delta,W,W^{-1})$ are called (δ,W)-*matrices*. If $W\in \mathrm{M}_n(\mathrm{Fix}(\delta))$, then $\mathrm{M}_n(R,\delta,W,W^{-1})$ is closed with respect to the action of δ_n.

3.1. Proposition. *If $W\in \mathrm{GL}_n(R)$ is an invertible matrix such that $W,W^{-1}\in \mathrm{M}_n(\mathrm{Z}(R))$, then the trace of a (δ,W)-matrix $A\in \mathrm{M}_n(R,\delta,W,W^{-1})$ is in the fixed ring of δ, i.e. $\mathrm{tr}(A)\in \mathrm{Fix}(\delta)$.*

Proof. Using the centrality of the entries of W and W^{-1}, a direct calculation shows that $\mathrm{tr}(WAW^{-1})=\mathrm{tr}(A)$ for all $A\in \mathrm{M}_n(R)$. Thus for a matrix $A\in \mathrm{M}_n(R,\delta,W,W^{-1})$ we have

$$\delta(\mathrm{tr}(A))=\mathrm{tr}(\delta_n(A))=\mathrm{tr}(WAW^{-1})=\mathrm{tr}(A),$$

whence $\mathrm{tr}(A)\in \mathrm{Fix}(\delta)$ follows. □

For a transitive matrix $T=[t_{i,j}]$ in $\mathrm{M}_n(\mathrm{Z}(R))$ and $A\in \mathrm{M}_n(R)$ a straightforward calculation shows that $T*A=DAD^{-1}$, where $D=\Sigma_{i=1}^{n}t_{i,1}E_{i,i}$ and $D^{-1}=\Sigma_{i=1}^{n}t_{i,1}^{-1}E_{i,i}$ are diagonal matrices over $\mathrm{Z}(R)$. Instead of $\mathrm{M}_n(R,\delta,D,D^{-1})$ we use the notation

$$\mathrm{M}_n(R,\delta,T)=\{A\in \mathrm{M}_n(R)\mid A=[a_{i,j}]\text{ and }\delta(a_{i,j})=t_{i,j}a_{i,j}\text{ for all }1\le i,j\le n\}.$$

Any endomorphism $\delta : R \longrightarrow R$ can be naturally extended to an endomorphism $\delta_z : R[z] \longrightarrow R[z]$ of the polynomial ring $R[z]$: for $r_0, r_1 \ldots, r_m \in R$ take

$$\delta_z(r_0 + r_1 z + \cdots + r_m z^m) = \delta(r_0) + \delta(r_1)z + \cdots + \delta(r_m)z^m.$$

The elements of the skew polynomial ring $R[w, \delta]$ in the skew indeterminate w are left polynomials of the form $f(w) = r_0 + r_1 w + \cdots + r_k w^k$ with $r_0, r_1, \ldots, r_k \in R$. Besides the obvious addition, we have the following multiplication rule in $R[w, \delta]$: $wr = \delta(r)w$ for all $r \in R$ and

$$(r_0 + r_1 w + \cdots + r_k w^k)(s_0 + s_1 w + \cdots + s_l w^l) = u_0 + u_1 w + \cdots + u_{k+l} w^{k+l},$$

where

$$u_m = \sum_{i+j=m, i\geq 0, j\geq 0} r_i \delta^i(s_j) \text{ (for } 0 \leq m \leq k+l).$$

If $\delta^n = \mathrm{id}_R$ (such a δ is an automorphism), then w^n is a central element of $R[w, \delta]$: we have $\delta^n(r) = r$ and $w^n r = w^{n-1}\delta(r)w = \cdots = \delta^n(r)w^n = rw^n$ for all $r \in R$, moreover w^n commutes with the powers of w.

3.2. Theorem. *The inverse of the (cyclic) permutation matrix* $H = \Sigma_{i=1}^n E_{i,i\oplus 1}$ *is* $H^{-1} = \Sigma_{j=1}^n E_{j,j\ominus 1}$, *where the addition* $\oplus$ *and the subtraction* $\ominus$ *are taken in* $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ *modulo* n. *If* $\delta : R \longrightarrow R$ *is an endomorphism and* $r \in R$, *then*

$$\overline{\delta}(r) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta^{k-1}(r) E_{k,k}$$

defines a natural diagonal embedding $\overline{\delta} : R \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_n(R)$ *of rings. For* $r \in R$ *and* $c \in \mathrm{Fix}(\delta)$ *we have* $\overline{\delta}(cr) = c\overline{\delta}(r)$, $\overline{\delta}(rc) = \overline{\delta}(r)c$ *and* $\overline{\delta}(c) = cI_n$.
(1) *If* $\delta^n = \mathrm{id}_R$, *then* $\overline{\delta} : R \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_n(R, \delta, H, H^{-1})$ *is an embedding of rings.*
(2) *The choice* $\delta^{\otimes}(r) = \overline{\delta}(r)$ *and* $\delta^{\otimes}(w) = Hz$ *gives a unique possibility to get a homomorphic extension* $\delta^{\otimes} : R[w, \delta] \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_n(R[z])$ *of* $\overline{\delta}$. *If* $\delta^n = \mathrm{id}_R$, *then we claim that*

$$\delta^{\otimes}(r_0 + r_1 w + \cdots + r_k w^k) = \overline{\delta}(r_0) + \overline{\delta}(r_1)Hz + \cdots + \overline{\delta}(r_k)H^k z^k$$

defines an embedding $\delta^{\otimes} : R[w, \delta] \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_n(R[z], \delta_z, H, H^{-1})$ *of rings. If* $f(w) \in R[w, \delta]$ *and* $c \in \mathrm{Fix}(\delta)$, *then* $\delta^{\otimes}(cf(w)) = c\delta^{\otimes}(f(w))$, $\delta^{\otimes}(f(w)c) = \delta^{\otimes}(f(w))c$ *and* $\delta^{\otimes}(c) = cI_n$.
(3) *For an invertible matrix* $W \in \mathrm{M}_n(\mathrm{Fix}(\delta)) \cap \mathrm{GL}_n(R)$, *any ring homomorphism* $\varphi : R \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_n(R, \delta, W, W^{-1})$ *with* $\varphi \circ \delta = \delta_n \circ \varphi$ *has a unique homomorphic extension*

$$\Phi : R[w, \delta] \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_n(R[z], \delta_z, W, W^{-1})$$

such that $\Phi(w) = Wz$.

Proof. Since $\overline{\delta}(r)$ is diagonal, the verification of the fact that $\overline{\delta}$ is an injective ring homomorphism is straightforward.
If $r \in R$ and $c \in \mathrm{Fix}(\delta)$, then $\overline{\delta}(cr) = c\overline{\delta}(r)$, $\overline{\delta}(rc) = \overline{\delta}(r)c$ and $\overline{\delta}(c) = cI_n$ follow from $\delta^k(cr) = c\delta^k(r)$, $\delta^k(rc) = \delta^k(r)c$ and $\delta^k(c) = c$.
(1) If $\delta^n = \mathrm{id}_R$, then the calculations

$$\delta_n(\overline{\delta}(r)) = \overline{\delta}(\delta(r)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta^{i-1}(\delta(r))E_{i,i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta^{i}(r)E_{i,i}\ ,$$

$$H\overline{\delta}(r)H^{-1} = \left(\Sigma_{i=1}^n E_{i,i\oplus 1}\right)\left(\Sigma_{k=1}^n \delta^{k-1}(r)E_{k,k}\right)\left(\Sigma_{j=1}^n E_{j,j\ominus 1}\right) =$$

$$\sum_{1\le i,k,j\le n}\delta^{k-1}(r)E_{i,i\oplus 1}E_{k,k}E_{j,j\ominus 1}=$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n}\delta^{(i\oplus 1)-1}(r)E_{i,i\oplus 1}E_{i\oplus 1,i\oplus 1}E_{i\oplus 1,(i\oplus 1)\ominus 1}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\delta^{(i\oplus 1)-1}(r)E_{i,i}$$

and $\delta^{(n\oplus 1)-1}(r)=\delta^{n}(r)$ show that $\overline{\delta}(r)\in \mathrm{M}_n(R,\delta,H,H^{-1})$.
(2) The additive property and the injectivity of $\delta^{\otimes}$ is clear. In order to see the multiplicative property of $\delta^{\otimes}$, it is enough to prove that

$$\delta^{\otimes}((rw^{i})(sw^{j}))=\delta^{\otimes}(rw^{i})\delta^{\otimes}(sw^{j})$$

for all $0\le i,j$. The iteration of $\overline{\delta}(\delta(s))=H\overline{\delta}(s)H^{-1}$ yields $\overline{\delta}(\delta^{i}(s))=H^{i}\overline{\delta}(s)H^{-i}$, whence $\overline{\delta}(\delta^{i}(s))H^{i}=H^{i}\overline{\delta}(s)$ follows (notice that $H^{n}=I_n$). Thus we have

$$\delta^{\otimes}((rw^{i})(sw^{j}))=\delta^{\otimes}(r\delta^{i}(s)w^{i+j})=\overline{\delta}(r\delta^{i}(s))H^{i+j}z^{i+j}=\overline{\delta}(r)\overline{\delta}(\delta^{i}(s))H^{i+j}z^{i+j}=$$

$$\overline{\delta}(r)H^{i}z^{i}\overline{\delta}(s)H^{j}z^{j}=\delta^{\otimes}(rw^{i})\delta^{\otimes}(sw^{j}).$$

Since $\delta^{\otimes}(r)=\overline{\delta}(r)\in \mathrm{M}_n(R,\delta,H,H^{-1})$ and $\delta^{\otimes}(w)\in \mathrm{M}_n(R[z],\delta_z,H,H^{-1})$ is a consequence of

$$H\delta^{\otimes}(w)H^{-1}=H(Hz)H^{-1}=Hz=(\delta_z)_n(Hz)=(\delta_z)_n(\delta^{\otimes}(w)),$$

we obtain that $\delta^{\otimes}(f(w))\in \mathrm{M}_n(R[z],\delta_z,H,H^{-1})$ for all $f(w)\in R[w,\delta]$.
The further properties of $\delta^{\otimes}$ follow from the analogous properties of $\overline{\delta}$.
(3) We can proceed in a similar way as in part (2), take

$$\Phi(r_0+r_1w+\cdots+r_kw^{k})=\varphi(r_0)+\varphi(r_1)Wz+\cdots+\varphi(r_k)W^{k}z^{k}$$

and use $\varphi(\delta^{i}(s))=W^{i}\varphi(s)W^{-i}$. □

3.3. Theorem. *Let* $g_i\in \mathrm{U}(R)$, $1\le i\le n$ *be a sequence of invertible elements such that*

$$g_i^{-1}g_j^{1}+g_i^{-2}g_j^{2}+\cdots+g_i^{-n}g_j^{n}=g_1^{k}+g_2^{k}+\cdots+g_n^{k}=g_1^{-k}+g_2^{-k}+\cdots+g_n^{-k}=0$$

for all $1\le k\le n-1$ *and* $1\le i,j\le n$ *with* $i\ne j$. *If* $\frac{1}{n}\in R$, *then the inverse of the Vandermonde matrix*

$$V=\sum_{1\le i,k\le n}g_i^{-k}E_{i,k}\ \text{ is }\ U=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{1\le k,j\le n}g_j^{k}E_{k,j}\ .$$

If $\delta:R\longrightarrow R$ *is an endomorphism and* $r\in R$, *then the composition of the diagonal embedding* $\overline{\delta}$ *in 3.2 and the conjugation by* V *gives*

$$\widetilde{\delta}(r)=V\overline{\delta}(r)V^{-1}=\frac{1}{n}\left[x_{i,j}(r)\right]_{n\times n}$$

as an $R\longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_n(R)$ *embedding of rings, where*

$$x_{i,j}(r)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}g_i^{-k}\delta^{k-1}(r)g_j^{k}\ .$$

If $\delta^{n}=\mathrm{id}_R$, $g_i^{n}=1$ *and* $g_i\in \mathrm{Fix}(\delta)$ *for all* $1\le i\le n$, *then* $\widetilde{\delta}$ *is an* $R\longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_n(R,\delta,G,G^{-1})$ *embedding of rings, where* $G=\Sigma_{i=1}^{n}g_iE_{i,i}$ *and* $G^{-1}=\Sigma_{i=1}^{n}g_i^{-1}E_{i,i}$ *are diagonal matrices.*

Proof. It is straightforward to see that the conditions imposed on the elements g_i, $1 \leq i \leq n$ ensure that $VU = UV = I_n$. We also have

$$\widetilde{\delta}(r) = V\overline{\delta}(r)V^{-1} = \left(\sum_{1\leq i,k\leq n} g_i^{-k}E_{i,k}\right)\left(\sum_{t=1}^{n}\delta^{t-1}(r)E_{t,t}\right)\frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{1\leq l,j\leq n} g_j^{l}E_{l,j}\right) =$$

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{1\leq i,k,j\leq n} g_i^{-k}E_{i,k}\delta^{k-1}(r)E_{k,k}g_j^{k}E_{k,j} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{1\leq i,j\leq n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} g_i^{-k}\delta^{k-1}(r)g_j^{k}\right)E_{i,j} =$$

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{1\leq i,j\leq n} x_{i,j}(r)E_{i,j}\ .$$

If $g_i^n = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, then a direct calculation gives that $VH = GV$ and $H^{-1}V^{-1} = V^{-1}G^{-1}$ with H being the permutation matrix from Theorem 3.2. If $g_i \in \mathrm{Fix}(\delta)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $\delta_n(V) = V$ and $\delta_n(V^{-1}) = V^{-1}$. If $\delta^n = \mathrm{id}_R$, then $\delta_n(\overline{\delta}(r)) = H\overline{\delta}(r)H^{-1}$ by (1) of Theorem 3.2. Using all of the above conditions, we obtain that

$$\delta_n(\widetilde{\delta}(r)) = \delta_n(V\overline{\delta}(r)V^{-1}) = V\delta_n(\overline{\delta}(r))V^{-1} =$$

$$VH\overline{\delta}(r)H^{-1}V^{-1} = GV\overline{\delta}(r)V^{-1}G^{-1} = G\widetilde{\delta}(r)G^{-1},$$

whence $\widetilde{\delta}(r) \in \mathrm{M}_n(R, \delta, G, G^{-1})$ follows. □

3.4. Corollary. *Let K be a field of characteristic zero and for $1 \leq i \leq n$ take $g_i = e^{i-1}$, where $e \in K$ is a primitive n-th root of unity. Then the sequence $g_i \in \mathrm{U}(R)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ satisfies all of the conditions in Theorem 3.3 (here R is an algebra over K). If $\delta : R \longrightarrow R$ is a K-automorphism with $\delta^n = \mathrm{id}_R$, then $\widetilde{\delta} : R \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_n(R, \delta, G, G^{-1}) = \mathrm{M}_n(R, \delta, P^{(e)})$ is an embedding of K-algebras, where $P^{(e)} = [p_{i,j}]$ with $p_{i,j} = g_i g_j^{-1} = e^{i-j}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ (see Example 2.3) is a transitive $n \times n$ matrix over K.*

Let $\mathrm{Sym}(m)$ denote the symmetric group of all permutations of the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ and consider the standard polynomial

$$S_m(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathrm{Sym}(m)} \mathrm{sgn}(\pi)x_{\pi(1)} \cdots x_{\pi(m)}$$

in the free associative K-algebra $K\langle x_1, \ldots, x_m\rangle$ generated by the (non-commuting) indeterminates $x_1, \ldots, x_m$.

3.5. Theorem. *If R is commutative and $\delta^n = \mathrm{id}_R$, then $S_{2n} = 0$ is an identity on $R[w, \delta]$.*

Proof. Since $R[z]$ is also commutative and $S_{2n} = 0$ is an identity on $\mathrm{M}_n(R[z])$ by the Amitsur-Levitzki theorem, the existence of the embedding $\delta^{\otimes}$ in part (2) of Theorem 3.2 completes the proof. □

3.6. Theorem. *If $S_m = 0$ is an identity on R and $\delta^n = \mathrm{id}_R$, then $S_{(m-1)n^2+1} = 0$ is an identity on $R[w, \delta]$.*

Proof. Since $S_m = 0$ is also an identity on $R[z]$ and $S_{(m-1)n^2+1} = 0$ is an identity on $\mathrm{M}_n(R[z])$ by Theorem 5.5 of Domokos [Do1], the existence of the embedding $\delta^{\otimes}$ in part (2) of Theorem 3.2 completes the proof. □

3.7. Theorem. *If R is a PI-algebra over a field $K \subseteq \mathrm{Fix}(\delta)$ and $\delta^n = \mathrm{id}_R$, then $R[w, \delta]$ is also a PI-algebra over K.*

Proof. Since $R[z]$ is PI and the full matrix algebra $\mathrm{M}_n(R[z])$ is also PI by (a special case of) Regev's tensor product theorem, the existence of the embedding $\delta^{\otimes}$ in part (2) of Theorem 3.2 completes the proof. □

4. THE CAYLEY-HAMILTON IDENTITY FOR A (δ, W)-MATRIX

The following definitions and the basic results about the symmetric and Lie-nilpotent analogues of the classical determinant theory can be found in [Do2, S1, SvW].

For an $n \times n$ matrix $A = [a_{i,j}]$ over an arbitrary (possibly non-commutative) ring or algebra R with 1, the element

$$\mathrm{sdet}(A) = \sum_{\tau,\pi \in \mathrm{Sym}(n)} \mathrm{sgn}(\pi) a_{\tau(1),\pi(\tau(1))} \cdots a_{\tau(t),\pi(\tau(t))} \cdots a_{\tau(n),\pi(\tau(n))}$$
$$= \sum_{\alpha,\beta \in \mathrm{Sym}(n)} \mathrm{sgn}(\alpha)\mathrm{sgn}(\beta) a_{\alpha(1),\beta(1)} \cdots a_{\alpha(t),\beta(t)} \cdots a_{\alpha(n),\beta(n)}$$

of R is the *symmetric determinant* of A. The *preadjoint matrix* $A^* = [a^*_{r,s}]$ of $A = [a_{i,j}]$ is defined as the following natural symmetrization of the classical adjoint:

$$a^*_{r,s} = \sum_{\tau,\pi} \mathrm{sgn}(\pi) a_{\tau(1),\pi(\tau(1))} \cdots a_{\tau(s-1),\pi(\tau(s-1))} a_{\tau(s+1),\pi(\tau(s+1))} \cdots a_{\tau(n),\pi(\tau(n))}$$
$$= \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \mathrm{sgn}(\alpha)\mathrm{sgn}(\beta) a_{\alpha(1),\beta(1)} \cdots a_{\alpha(s-1),\beta(s-1)} a_{\alpha(s+1),\beta(s+1)} \cdots a_{\alpha(n),\beta(n)} ,$$

where the first sum is taken over all $\tau, \pi \in \mathrm{Sym}(n)$ with $\tau(s) = s$ and $\pi(s) = r$ (while the second sum is taken over all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathrm{Sym}(n)$ with $\alpha(s) = s$ and $\beta(s) = r$). We note that the (r, s) entry of A^* is exactly the signed symmetric determinant $(-1)^{r+s}\mathrm{sdet}(A_{s,r})$ of the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ minor $A_{s,r}$ of A arising from the deletion of the s-th row and the r-th column of A. If R is commutative, then $\mathrm{sdet}(A) = n!\det(A)$ and $A^* = (n-1)!\mathrm{adj}(A)$, where $\det(A)$ and $\mathrm{adj}(A)$ denote the ordinary determinant and adjoint of A.

The right adjoint sequence $(P_k)_{k \geq 1}$ of A is defined by the recursion: $P_1 = A^*$ and $P_{k+1} = (AP_1 \cdots P_k)^*$ for $k \geq 1$. The k-th right determinant is the trace of $AP_1 \cdots P_k$:

$$\mathrm{rdet}_{(k)}(A) = \mathrm{tr}(AP_1 \cdots P_k).$$

The left adjoint sequence $(Q_k)_{k \geq 1}$ can be defined analogously: $Q_1 = A^*$ and $Q_{k+1} = (Q_k \cdots Q_1 A)^*$ for $k \geq 1$. The k-th left determinant of A is

$$\mathrm{ldet}_{(k)}(A) = \mathrm{tr}(Q_k \cdots Q_1 A).$$

Clearly, $\mathrm{rdet}_{(k+1)}(A) = \mathrm{rdet}_{(k)}(AA^*)$ and $\mathrm{ldet}_{(k+1)}(A) = \mathrm{ldet}_{(k)}(A^*A)$. We note that

$$\mathrm{rdet}_{(1)}(A) = \mathrm{tr}(AA^*) = \mathrm{sdet}(A) = \mathrm{tr}(A^*A) = \mathrm{ldet}_{(1)}(A).$$

As we can see in Section 5, the following theorem is a broad generalization of one of the main results in [S2].

4.1. Theorem. *Let $\delta : R \longrightarrow R$ be an endomorphism and $W \in \mathrm{GL}_n(R)$ be an invertible matrix such that $W, W^{-1} \in \mathrm{M}_n(\mathrm{Z}(R))$. If $A \in \mathrm{M}_n(R, \delta, W, W^{-1})$ is a*

(δ, W)-matrix, then $A^* \in \mathrm{M}_n(R, \delta, W, W^{-1})$. In other words, the matrix algebra $\mathrm{M}_n(R, \delta, W, W^{-1})$ is closed with respect to taking the preadjoint.

Proof. The definition of A^* and the element-wise action of δ_n ensure that $\delta_n(A^*) = \delta_n(A)^*$ for all $A \in \mathrm{M}_n(R)$. Since $W, W^{-1} \in \mathrm{M}_n(\mathrm{Z}(R))$, the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [Do2] gives that $(WAW^{-1})^* = WA^*W^{-1}$ for all $A \in \mathrm{M}_n(R)$. Thus for a matrix $A \in \mathrm{M}_n(R, \delta, W, W^{-1})$ we have

$$\delta_n(A^*) = \delta_n(A)^* = (WAW^{-1})^* = WA^*W^{-1},$$

whence $A^* \in \mathrm{M}_n(R, \delta, W, W^{-1})$ follows. □

4.2. Theorem. *Let $\delta : R \longrightarrow R$ be an endomorphism and $W \in \mathrm{GL}_n(R)$ be an invertible matrix such that $W, W^{-1} \in \mathrm{M}_n(\mathrm{Z}(R))$. If $A \in \mathrm{M}_n(R, \delta, W, W^{-1})$ is a (δ, W)-matrix, then we have*

$$\mathrm{rdet}_{(k)}(A), \mathrm{ldet}_{(k)}(A) \in \mathrm{Fix}(\delta)$$

for all $k \geq 1$. In particular $\mathrm{sdet}(A) = \mathrm{rdet}_{(1)}(A) = \mathrm{ldet}_{(1)}(A) \in \mathrm{Fix}(\delta)$.

Proof. The repeated application of Theorem 4.1 gives that the recursion $P_1 = A^*$ and $P_{k+1} = (AP_1 \cdots P_k)^*$ starting from a (δ, W)-matrix $A \in \mathrm{M}_n(R, \delta, W, W^{-1})$ defines a sequence $(P_k)_{k \geq 1}$ in $\mathrm{M}_n(R, \delta, W, W^{-1})$. Since $\mathrm{rdet}_{(k)}(A) = \mathrm{tr}(AP_1 \cdots P_k)$ is the trace of the product matrix $AP_1 \cdots P_k \in \mathrm{M}_n(R, \delta, W, W^{-1})$ and the trace of a (δ, W)-matrix is in $\mathrm{Fix}(\delta)$ by Proposition 3.1, the proof is complete. The poof of $\mathrm{ldet}_{(k)}(A) \in \mathrm{Fix}(\delta)$ is similar. □

Let $R[x]$ denote the ring of polynomials of the single commuting indeterminate x, with coefficients in R. The k-th right (left) characteristic polynomial of A is the k-th right (left) determinant of the $n \times n$ matrix $xI_n - A$ in $\mathrm{M}_n(R[x])$:

$$p_{A,k}(x) = \mathrm{rdet}_{(k)}(xI_n - A) \text{ and } q_{A,k}(x) = \mathrm{ldet}_{(k)}(xI_n - A).$$

Notice that $p_{A,k}(x)$ is of the following form:

$$p_{A,k}(x) = \lambda_0^{(k)} + \lambda_1^{(k)} x + \cdots + \lambda_{n^k - 1}^{(k)} x^{n^k - 1} + \lambda_{n^k}^{(k)} x^{n^k},$$

where $\lambda_0^{(k)}, \lambda_1^{(k)}, \ldots, \lambda_{n^k-1}^{(k)}, \lambda_{n^k}^{(k)} \in R$ and $\lambda_{n^k}^{(k)} = n\left\{(n-1)!\right\}^{1+n+n^2+\cdots+n^{k-1}}$.

4.3. Corollary. *Let $\delta : R \longrightarrow R$ be an endomorphism and $W \in \mathrm{GL}_n(R)$ be an invertible matrix such that $W, W^{-1} \in \mathrm{M}_n(\mathrm{Z}(R))$. If $A \in \mathrm{M}_n(R, \delta, W, W^{-1})$ is a (δ, W)-matrix, then we have*

$$p_{A,k}(x), q_{A,k}(x) \in \mathrm{Fix}(\delta)[x]$$

for all $k \geq 1$. In other words, the coefficients of the right $p_{A,k}(x) = \mathrm{rdet}_{(k)}(xI_n - A)$ and left $q_{A,k}(x) = \mathrm{ldet}_{(k)}(xI_n - A)$ characteristic polynomials are in $\mathrm{Fix}(\delta)$.

Proof. We use the natural extension $\delta_x : R[x] \longrightarrow R[x]$ of δ. Since W can be considered as an invertible matrix over $\mathrm{Z}(R[x]) = \mathrm{Z}(R)[x]$ and $xI_n - A \in \mathrm{M}_n(R[x], \delta_x, W, W^{-1})$, Theorem 4.2 gives that $p_{A,k}(x) = \mathrm{rdet}_{(k)}(xI_n - A)$ and $q_{A,k}(x) = \mathrm{ldet}_{(k)}(xI_n - A)$ are in $\mathrm{Fix}(\delta_x) = \mathrm{Fix}(\delta)[x]$. □

4.4. Theorem. *Let $\delta : R \longrightarrow R$ be an endomorphism and $W \in \mathrm{GL}_n(R)$ be an invertible matrix such that $W, W^{-1} \in \mathrm{M}_n(\mathrm{Z}(R))$. If R satisfies the polynomial identity*

$$[[[\ldots[[x_1, x_2], x_3], \ldots], x_k], x_{k+1}] = 0$$

(R is Lie nilpotent of index k) and $A \in \mathrm{M}_n(R, \delta, W, W^{-1})$ is a (δ, W)-matrix, then a right Cayley-Hamilton identity

$$(A)p_{A,k} = I_n\lambda_0^{(k)} + A\lambda_1^{(k)} + \cdots + A^{n^k-1}\lambda_{n^k-1}^{(k)} + A^{n^k}\lambda_{n^k}^{(k)} = 0$$

holds, where the coefficients $\lambda_i^{(k)}$, $0 \leq i \leq n^k$ of $p_{A,k}(x) = \mathrm{rdet}_{(k)}(xI_n - A)$ are in $\mathrm{Fix}(\delta)$. *If $\lambda_{n^k}^{(k)} = n\,\{(n-1)!\}^{1+n+n^2+\cdots+n^{k-1}}$ is invertible in R and $\mathrm{Fix}(\delta) \subseteq \mathrm{Z}(R)$, then the above identity provides the integrality of $\mathrm{M}_n(R, \delta, W, W^{-1})$ over $\mathrm{Z}(R)$ (of degree n^k).*

Proof. Since one of the main results of [S1] is that

$$(A)p_{A,k} = I_n\lambda_0^{(k)} + A\lambda_1^{(k)} + \cdots + A^{n^k-1}\lambda_{n^k-1}^{(k)} + A^{n^k}\lambda_{n^k}^{(k)} = 0$$

holds for $A \in \mathrm{M}_n(R)$, Corollary 4.3 can be used. □

The combination of part (1) in 3.2 and 4.4 yields the following.

4.5. Theorem. *Let R be a Lie nilpotent algebra (over $\mathbb{Q}$) of index $k \geq 1$. If $\delta : R \longrightarrow R$ is an automorphism with $\delta^n = \mathrm{id}_R$, then R is right integral over* $\mathrm{Fix}(\delta)$ *of degree n^k. In other words, for any $r \in R$ we have*

$$c_0 + rc_1 + \cdots + r^{n^k-1}c_{n^k-1} + r^{n^k} = 0$$

for some $c_t \in \mathrm{Fix}(\delta)$, $0 \leq t \leq n^k - 1$.

Proof. Take H and H^{-1} as in Theorem 3.2 and consider the embedding $\overline{\delta} : R \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_n(R, \delta, H, H^{-1})$ in part (1) of 3.2. Theorem 4.4 ensures that

$$I_n\lambda_0^{(k)} + \overline{\delta}(r)\lambda_1^{(k)} + \cdots + (\overline{\delta}(r))^{n^k-1}\lambda_{n^k-1}^{(k)} + (\overline{\delta}(r))^{n^k}\lambda_{n^k}^{(k)} = 0$$

holds, where the coefficients of the k-th right characteristic polynomial

$$\mathrm{rdet}_{(k)}(xI_n - \overline{\delta}(r)) = \lambda_0^{(k)} + \lambda_1^{(k)}x + \cdots + \lambda_{n^k-1}^{(k)}x^{n^k-1} + \lambda_{n^k}^{(k)}x^{n^k}$$

of $\overline{\delta}(r) \in \mathrm{M}_n(R, \delta, H, H^{-1})$ are in $\mathrm{Fix}(\delta)$ and $\lambda_{n^k}^{(k)} = q \geq 1$ is an integer. For each $0 \leq t \leq n^k - 1$ take $c_t = \frac{1}{q}\lambda_t^{(k)} \in \mathrm{Fix}(\delta)$. Thus

$$\overline{\delta}(c_0+rc_1+\cdots+r^{n^k-1}c_{n^k-1}+r^{n^k}) = I_nc_0+\overline{\delta}(r)c_1+\cdots+(\overline{\delta}(r))^{n^k-1}c_{n^k-1}+(\overline{\delta}(r))^{n^k} =$$

$$\left(I_n\lambda_0^{(k)} + \overline{\delta}(r)\lambda_1^{(k)} + \cdots + (\overline{\delta}(r))^{n^k-1}\lambda_{n^k-1}^{(k)} + (\overline{\delta}(r))^{n^k}\lambda_{n^k}^{(k)}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{q} = 0$$

and $\ker(\overline{\delta}) = \{0\}$ give the desired right integrality. □

4.6. Remark. If R is commutative, then r is a root of the polynomial $f(x) = (x-r)(x-\delta(r))\cdots(x-\delta^{n-1}(r))$ and $\delta^n = \mathrm{id}_R$ implies that the coefficients of $f(x)$ are in $\mathrm{Fix}(\delta)$. Thus in the commutative case we don't need matrices to prove the above 4.5. We also note that a similar polynomial $\Pi_{\gamma\in G}(x-\gamma(a))$ (where $a \in L$ is a root of a given irreducible polynomial $q(x) \in K[x]$) appears in the proof of the fact that the Galois group G of a finite normal field extension $K \subseteq L$ acts transitively on the roots of $q(x)$.

5. GRADED $n \times n$ MATRIX ALGEBRAS OVER A $\mathbb{Z}_n$-GRADED BASE RING

A $\mathbb{Z}_n$-grading of a ring R is an n-tuple $(R_0, R_1, \dots, R_{n-1})$, where each R_i is an additive subgroup of R such that $R = R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_{n-1}$ is a direct sum and $R_i R_j \subseteq R_{i \boxplus j}$ for all $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$, where $i \boxplus j$ is the sum of i and j in $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ modulo n:

$$i \boxplus j = \begin{cases} i + j \text{ if } i + j \leq n - 1 \\ (i + j) - n \text{ if } n \leq i + j \end{cases} .$$

The relation $R_0 R_0 \subseteq R_0$ ensures that R_0 is a subring of R. Now any element $r \in R$ can be uniquely written as $r = r_0 + r_1 + \cdots + r_{n-1}$, where $r_i \in R_i$. It is easy to see that the existence of $1 \in R$ implies that $1 \in R_0$.
For $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ we use the notation

$$j \boxminus i = \begin{cases} j - i \text{ if } i \leq j \\ n + (j - i) \text{ if } j < i \end{cases}$$

in the following definition. A matrix $A = [a_{i,j}]$ in $\mathrm{M}_n(R)$ is called *graded* (with respect to the above $\mathbb{Z}_n$-grading of R) if $a_{i,j} \in R_{j \boxminus i}$ holds for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. We note that the subset $\mathrm{M}_n^g(R)$ of all graded matrices in $\mathrm{M}_n(R)$ is a subring and a (R_0, R_0)-bi-submodule of $\mathrm{M}_n(R)$. It is clear that

$$\mathrm{M}_n^g(R) = X_0 \oplus X_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_{n-1}$$

is a $\mathbb{Z}_n$-grading of $\mathrm{M}_n^g(R)$, where

$$X_i = R_i E_{1,1 \oplus i} \oplus R_i E_{2,2 \oplus i} \oplus \cdots \oplus R_i E_{n,n \oplus i} \ , \ 0 \leq i \leq n-1$$

and the sums $j \oplus i$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ in the indices are taken in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ modulo n. Using the cyclic permutation matrix $H = \Sigma_{i=1}^{n} E_{i,i \oplus 1}$ from Theorem 3.2, a natural (R_0, R_0)-embedding of R into $\mathrm{M}_n^g(R)$ can be presented as follows.

5.1. Proposition. *If* $R = R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_{n-1}$ *is a* $\mathbb{Z}_n$*-grading of* R*, then for* $r_i \in R_i$*,* $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ *the assignment*

$$\gamma(r_0 + r_1 + \cdots + r_{n-1}) = r_0 H^0 + r_1 H + \cdots + r_{n-1} H^{n-1} = \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} r_{j \boxminus i} E_{i,j}$$

defines a ($\mathbb{Z}_n$*-graded) embedding* $\gamma : R \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_n^g(R)$ *of rings.*

Proof. The additive property and the injectivity of γ is clear. The multiplicative property of γ follows from $H^i H^j = H^{i+j} = H^{i \boxplus j}$ and

$$\sum_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \left(\sum_{0 \leq i,j \leq n-1, i \boxplus j = k} r_i s_j \right) H^k = \left(\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} r_i H^i \right) \left(\sum_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} s_j H^j \right) . \square$$

5.2. Theorem. *If* $R = R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_{n-1}$ *is a* $\mathbb{Z}_n$*-grading of* R *and* $A \in \mathrm{M}_n^g(R)$ *is a graded matrix, then* $A^* \in \mathrm{M}_n^g(R)$*. In other words, the ring* $\mathrm{M}_n^g(R)$ *of graded matrices is closed with respect to taking the preadjoint.*

Proof. The (r, s) entry of A^* is

$$a_{r,s}^* = \sum_{\tau,\pi} \mathrm{sgn}(\pi) a_{\tau(1),\pi(\tau(1))} \cdots a_{\tau(s-1),\pi(\tau(s-1))} a_{\tau(s+1),\pi(\tau(s+1))} \cdots a_{\tau(n),\pi(\tau(n))},$$

where the sum is taken over all $\tau, \pi \in \mathrm{Sym}(n)$ with $\tau(s) = s$ and $\pi(s) = r$. Since $a_{\tau(i),\pi(\tau(i))} \in R_{\pi(\tau(i)) \boxminus \tau(i)}$ (for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, $i \neq s$) by the graded property of A, the properties of the $\mathbb{Z}_n$-grading $R = R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_{n-1}$ ensure that $a^*_{r,s} \in R_t$, where

$$t = (\pi(\tau(1)) \boxminus \tau(1)) \boxplus \cdots \boxplus (\pi(\tau(s-1)) \boxminus \tau(s-1)) \boxplus$$
$$\boxplus (\pi(\tau(s+1)) \boxminus \tau(s+1)) \boxplus \cdots \boxplus (\pi(\tau(n)) \boxminus \tau(n)) .$$

The repeated use of the commutativity of $\boxplus$ gives that

$$t = (\pi(1) \boxminus 1) \boxplus \cdots \boxplus (\pi(s-1) \boxminus (s-1)) \boxplus (\pi(s+1) \boxminus (s+1)) \boxplus \cdots \boxplus (\pi(n) \boxminus n) =$$
$$t_r \boxplus \left(\sum_C \boxplus\, t_C \right) = s \boxminus r,$$

where

$$t_r = (\pi(r) \boxminus r) \boxplus (\pi^2(r) \boxminus \pi(r)) \boxplus \cdots \boxplus (\pi^l(r) \boxminus \pi^{l-1}(r)) = s \boxminus r$$

comes from the only cycle $(r, \pi(r), ..., \pi^l(r) = s)$ of π containing s (as well as r),

$$t_C = (\pi(i) \boxminus i) \boxplus (\pi^2(i) \boxminus \pi(i)) \boxplus \cdots \boxplus (\pi^{k+1}(i) \boxminus \pi^k(i)) = \pi^{k+1}(i) \boxminus i = 0$$

comes from the cycle $C = (i, \pi(i), ..., \pi^k(i))$ of the permutation π not containing s (and hence r) and the summation runs over all such cycles. □

5.3. Theorem. *If $A \in \mathrm{M}^g_n(R)$ is a graded matrix with respect to the $\mathbb{Z}_n$-grading $R = R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_{n-1}$ of R, then for the k-th right determinant and k-th right characteristic polynomial of A we have*

$$\mathrm{rdet}_{(k)}(A) \in R_0 \text{ and } p_{A,k}(x) = \mathrm{rdet}_{(k)}(xI_n - A) \in R_0[x]$$

for all $k \geq 1$.

Proof. The repeated application of Theorem 5.2 gives that the recursion $P_1 = A^*$ and $P_{k+1} = (AP_1 \cdots P_k)^*$ starting from a graded matrix $A \in \mathrm{M}^g_n(R)$ defines a sequence $(P_k)_{k\geq 1}$ in $\mathrm{M}^g_n(R)$. Each diagonal entry and the trace $\mathrm{rdet}_{(k)}(A) = \mathrm{tr}(AP_1 \cdots P_k)$ of the graded matrix $AP_1 \cdots P_k \in \mathrm{M}^g_n(R)$ is in R_0.
Since $xI_n - A \in \mathrm{M}_n(R[x])$ is a graded matrix with respect to the induced $\mathbb{Z}_n$-grading $R[x] = R_0[x] \oplus R_1[x] \oplus \cdots \oplus R_{n-1}[x]$ of $R[x]$, we obtain that $p_{A,k}(x) = \mathrm{rdet}_{(k)}(xI_n - A)$ is in $R_0[x]$. □

5.4. Theorem. *If $R = R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_{n-1}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_n$-graded and Lie nilpotent algebra (over $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq R_0$) of index $k \geq 1$, then R is right integral over R_0 of n^k. In other words, for any $r \in R$ we have*

$$c_0 + rc_1 + \cdots + r^{n^k-1}c_{n^k-1} + r^{n^k} = 0$$

for some $c_t \in R_0$, $0 \leq t \leq n^k - 1$.

Proof. Consider the embedding $\gamma : R \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}^g_n(R)$ in Proposition 5.1. Theorem 5.3 ensures that

$$I_n\lambda^{(k)}_0 + \gamma(r)\lambda^{(k)}_1 + \cdots + (\gamma(r))^{n^k-1}\lambda^{(k)}_{n^k-1} + (\gamma(r))^{n^k}\lambda^{(k)}_{n^k} = 0$$

holds, where the coefficients of the k-th right characteristic polynomial

$$\mathrm{rdet}_{(k)}(xI_n - \gamma(r)) = \lambda^{(k)}_0 + \lambda^{(k)}_1 x + \cdots + \lambda^{(k)}_{n^k-1}x^{n^k-1} + \lambda^{(k)}_{n^k}x^{n^k}$$

of $\gamma(r)\in \mathrm{M}_n^g(R)$ are in R_0 and $\lambda^{(k)}_{n^k}=q\geq 1$ is an integer. For each $0\leq t\leq n^k-1$ take $c_t=\frac{1}{q}\lambda^{(k)}_t\in R_0$. Since $\gamma(c_t)=c_tI_n$, we have

$$\gamma(c_0{+}rc_1{+}\cdots{+}r^{n^k-1}c_{n^k-1}{+}r^{n^k}){=}I_nc_0{+}\gamma(r)c_1{+}\cdots{+}(\gamma(r))^{n^k-1}c_{n^k-1}{+}(\gamma(r))^{n^k}=$$

$$\Big(I_n\lambda^{(k)}_0+\gamma(r)\lambda^{(k)}_1+\cdots+(\gamma(r))^{n^k-1}\lambda^{(k)}_{n^k-1}+(\gamma(r))^{n^k}\lambda^{(k)}_{n^k}\Big)\cdot\frac{1}{q}=0$$

and $\ker(\gamma)=\{0\}$ give the desired right integrality. □

5.5. Theorem. *Let R be a Lie nilpotent algebra (over $\mathbb{Q}$) of index $k\geq 1$. If $\delta:R\longrightarrow R$ is an automorphism with $\delta^n=\mathrm{id}_R$, then $R[w,\delta]$ is right integral over $\mathrm{Fix}(\delta)[w^n]$ of degree n^k. In other words, for any $f(w)\in R[w,\delta]$ we have*

$$g_0(w^n)+f(w)g_1(w^n)+\cdots+f^{n^k-1}(w)g_{n^k-1}(w^n)+f^{n^k}(w)=0$$

for some $g_t(w^n)\in\mathrm{Fix}(\delta)[w^n]$, $0\leq t\leq n^k-1$.

Proof. Take H and H^{-1} as in Theorem 3.2 and consider the embedding $\delta^{\otimes}:R[w,\delta]\longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_n(R[z],\delta_z,H,H^{-1})$ in part (2) of 3.2. Theorem 4.4 ensures that

$$I_n\lambda^{(k)}_0(z){+}\delta^{\otimes}(f(w))\lambda^{(k)}_1(z){+}\cdots{+}(\delta^{\otimes}(f(w))^{n^k-1}\lambda^{(k)}_{n^k-1}(z){+}(\delta^{\otimes}(f(w))^{n}\lambda^{(k)}_{n^k}(z)=0$$

holds, where the coefficients of the k-th right characteristic polynomial

$$\mathrm{rdet}_{(k)}(xI_n-\delta^{\otimes}(f(w)))=\lambda^{(k)}_0(z)+\lambda^{(k)}_1(z)x+\cdots+\lambda^{(k)}_{n^k-1}(z)x^{n^k-1}+\lambda^{(k)}_{n^k}(z)x^{n^k}$$

of $\delta^{\otimes}(f(w))\in\mathrm{M}_n(R[z],\delta_z,H,H^{-1})$ are in $\mathrm{Fix}(\delta_z)=\mathrm{Fix}(\delta)[z]$ and $\lambda^{(k)}_{n^k}(z)=q\geq 1$ is an integer.

Since

$$\delta^{\otimes}(r_0+r_1w+\cdots+r_mw^m)=\overline{\delta}(r_0)+\overline{\delta}(r_1)Hz+\cdots+\overline{\delta}(r_m)H^mz^m$$

and each $\overline{\delta}(r_i)H^iz^i$ is graded with respect to the natural $\mathbb{Z}_n$-grading

$$R[z]=R[z^n]\oplus zR[z^n]\oplus\cdots\oplus z^{n-1}R[z^n],$$

we obtain that $\delta^{\otimes}(f(w))\in\mathrm{M}_n^g(R[z])$. Theorem 5.3 gives that

$$\mathrm{rdet}_{(k)}(xI_n-\delta^{\otimes}(f(w)))\in(R[z^n])[x],$$

whence $\lambda_t(z)=\mu_t(z^n)\in\mathrm{Fix}(\delta)[z^n]$ follows for all $0\leq t\leq n^k-1$.

Since $H^n=I_n$, for any polynomial $\mu(z^n)\in\mathrm{Fix}(\delta)[z^n]$ there exists a (unique) polynomial $g(w^n)\in\mathrm{Fix}(\delta)[w^n]$ such that

$$\mu(z^n)I_n=c_0I_n+c_1z^nI_n+\cdots+c_dz^{nd}I_n=\overline{\delta}(c_0)+\overline{\delta}(c_1)H^nz^n+\cdots+\overline{\delta}(c_d)H^{nd}z^{nd}=$$

$$\delta^{\otimes}(c_0+c_1w^n+\cdots+c_dw^{nd})=\delta^{\otimes}(g(w^n)),$$

where the coefficients $c_0,c_1,\ldots,c_d\in\mathrm{Fix}(\delta)$ of $\mu(z^n)$ and $g(w^n)$ coincide. For each $0\leq t\leq n^k-1$ take $g_t(w^n)\in\mathrm{Fix}(\delta)[w^n]$ such that

$$\delta^{\otimes}(g_t(w^n))=\frac{1}{q}\mu_t(z^n)I_n=\frac{1}{q}\lambda_t(z)I_n.$$

Thus

$$\delta^{\otimes}\Big(g_0(w^n)+f(w)g_1(w^n)+\cdots+f^{n^k-1}(w)g_{n^k-1}(w^n)+f^{n^k}(w)\Big)=$$

$$\frac{1}{q}\Big(I_n\lambda_0(z){+}\delta^{\otimes}(f(w))\lambda_1(z){+}\cdots{+}(\delta^{\otimes}(f(w))^{n^k-1}\lambda_{n^k-1}(z){+}(\delta^{\otimes}(f(w))^{n}\lambda_{n^k}(z)\Big){=}0$$

and $\ker(\delta^{\otimes})=\{0\}$ give the desired integrality. □

5.6. Remark. Theorems 4.4, 4.5, 5.3, 5.4 and 5.5 have left sided versions.

6. MATRIX ALGEBRAS OVER THE GRASSMANN ALGEBRA

If $R = R_0 \oplus R_1$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading of R, then the function $\rho : R \longrightarrow R$ defined by $\rho(r_0 + r_1) = r_0 - r_1$ is an automorphism of R with $\rho^2 = \mathrm{id}_R$.

6.1. Example. The so called supermatrix algebras $\mathrm{M}_{n,d}(R)$ are considered in [S2]. In view of $\mathrm{Fix}(\rho) = R_0$ and $\rho(r_0+r_1) = -(r_0+r_1) \Longleftrightarrow r_0 = 0$, it is straightforward to see that $\mathrm{M}_{n,d}(R) = \mathrm{M}_n(R, \rho, P(d,n))$, where $P(d,n)$ is the $n \times n$ blow up matrix in 2.3 with $m = n$.
The Grassmann (exterior) algebra

$$E = K\left\langle v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_i, \ldots \mid v_i v_j + v_j v_i = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq j \right\rangle$$

over a field K (of characteristic zero) generated by the infinite sequence of anticommutative indeterminates $(v_i)_{i \geq 1}$ is a typical example of a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded algebra. Using the well known $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading $E = E_0 \oplus E_1$ and the corresponding automorphism ε of E, we obtain the classical supermatrix algebra $\mathrm{M}_{n,d}(E) = \mathrm{M}_n(E, \varepsilon, P(d,n))$.
Since $\varepsilon^2 = \mathrm{id}_E$ implies that $(\varepsilon_n)^2 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{M}_n(E)}$, we can take $e = -1$, $R = \mathrm{M}_n(E)$ and $\delta = \varepsilon_n$ in Corollary 3.4. Thus we obtain the known embedding

$$\widetilde{(\varepsilon_n)} : \mathrm{M}_n(E) \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_2(\mathrm{M}_n(E), \varepsilon_n, P^{(-1)}) \cong \mathrm{M}_{2n}(E, \varepsilon, P(n, 2n)) = \mathrm{M}_{2n,n}(E)$$

of $\mathrm{M}_n(E)$ into the supermatrix algebra $\mathrm{M}_{2n,n}(E)$.
In view of $\mathrm{Fix}(\varepsilon) = E_0 = \mathrm{Z}(E)$, the application of Theorem 4.4 gives that $\mathrm{M}_{n,d}(E)$ is integral over E_0 of degree n^2 (see Theorem 3.3 in [S2]). Thus the main results of [S2] directly follow from 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4. □

We note that the T-ideal of the polynomial identities (with coefficients in K) satisfied by $\mathrm{M}_{n,d}(E)$ plays an important role in Kemer's classification of the T-prime T-ideals (see [K]).

6.2. Example. For an integer $k \geq 0$ let

$$E(k) = \underset{1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_k}{\oplus} K v_{i_1} \cdots v_{i_k}$$

denote the k-homogeneous component of E, i.e. the K-linear span of all products $v_{i_1} \cdots v_{i_k}$ of length k ($E(0) = K$). If $e \in K$ is a primitive n-th root of unity, then define an automorphism $\rho_e : E \longrightarrow E$ as follows

$$\rho_e(h(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k, \ldots)) = h(ev_1, ev_2, \ldots, ev_k, \ldots),$$

where each generator v_k in $h \in E$ is replaced by ev_k.
Consider the matrix algebra $\mathrm{M}_n(E, \rho_e, P^{(e)})$, where $P^{(e)} = [p_{i,j}]$ is the same $n \times n$ transitive matrix (with $p_{i,j} = e^{i-j}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$) as in Corollary 3.4. If $A = [h_{i,j}]$ is a matrix in $\mathrm{M}_n(E, \rho_e, P^{(e)})$, then we have $\rho_e(h_{i,j}) = e^{i-j} h_{i,j}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.
Since for an integer $0 \leq m \leq n-1$

$$(ev_{i_1}) \cdots (ev_{i_k}) = e^k v_{i_1} \cdots v_{i_k} = e^m v_{i_1} \cdots v_{i_k}$$

holds if and only if $k - m$ is divisible by n, we obtain that

$$E_{m,n} = \{h \in E \mid \rho_e(h) = e^m h\} = \overset{\infty}{\underset{u=0}{\oplus}} E(m + nu)$$

and

$$E_{-m,n} = \{h \in E \mid \rho_e(h) = e^{-m}h)\} = E_{n-m,n}.$$

Thus the shape of $\mathrm{M}_n(E, \rho_e, P^{(e)})$ is the following:

$$\mathrm{M}_n(E, \rho_e, P^{(e)}) = \begin{bmatrix} E_{0,n} & E_{-1,n} & \cdots & E_{-(n-2),n} & E_{-(n-1),n} \\ E_{1,n} & E_{0,n} & E_{-1,n} & \ddots & E_{-(n-2),n} \\ \vdots & E_{1,n} & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ E_{n-2,n} & \ddots & \ddots & E_{0,n} & E_{-1,n} \\ E_{n-1,n} & E_{n-2,n} & \cdots & E_{1,n} & E_{0,n} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $(\rho_e)^n = \mathrm{id}_E$, Corollary 3.4 provides an embedding $\widetilde{\rho_e} : E \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_n(E, \rho_e, P^{(e)})$. In view of the Lie nilpotency of E (of index 2), the application of Theorem 4.4 gives that any matrix $A \in \mathrm{M}_n(E, \rho_e, P^{(e)})$ satisfies a right Cayley-Hamilton identity of degree n^2 with (right) coefficients from $\mathrm{Fix}(\rho_e) = E_{0,n}$. If n is even, then $E_{0,n} \subseteq E_0 = \mathrm{Z}(E)$ is a central subalgebra and the coefficients in the mentioned right Cayley-Hamilton identity are central. The application of Theorem 4.5 gives that E is right (and left) integral over $E_{0,n}$ of degree n^2.

If $n = 2$ and $e = -1$, then $E_{0,2} = E_0$ is the even and $E_{1,2} = E_1$ is the odd part of the Grassmann algebra E and $\mathrm{M}_2(E, \rho_{-1}, P^{(-1)}) = \mathrm{M}_2(E, \varepsilon, P)$ (for P see 2.3). $\square$

6.3. Example. For $g \in E$, let $\sigma : E \longrightarrow E$ be the following map:

$$\sigma(g) = (1 + v_1)g(1 - v_1).$$

Clearly, $1 - v_1 = (1 + v_1)^{-1}$ implies that σ is a conjugate automorphism of E. The blow up $Q(d, n)$ of the transitive matrix

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 + v_1v_2 \\ 1 - v_1v_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is in $\mathrm{M}_n(E_0)$ (notice that $E_0 = \mathrm{Z}(E)$). Thus we can form the matrix algebra $\mathrm{M}_n(E, \sigma, Q(d, n))$. The block structure of a matrix $A \in \mathrm{M}_n(E, \sigma, Q(d, n))$ is the following

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{1,1} & A_{1,2} \\ A_{2,1} & A_{2,2} \end{bmatrix},$$

where the square blocks $A_{1,1}$ and $A_{2,2}$ are of sizes $d \times d$ and $(n-d) \times (n-d)$ and the rectangular blocks $A_{1,2}$ and $A_{2,1}$ are of sizes $d \times (n-d)$ and $(n-d) \times d$. The entries of $A_{1,1}$ and $A_{2,2}$ are in

$$\mathrm{Fix}(\sigma) = \{g \in E \mid (1+v_1)g(1-v_1) = g\} = \{g \in E \mid v_1g - gv_1 = 0\} = \mathrm{Cen}(v_1) = E_0 + E_0v_1,$$

where $\mathrm{Cen}(v_1)$ denotes the centralizer of v_1. The entries of $A_{1,2}$ are in

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{1,2} &= \{g \in E \mid (1 + v_1)g(1 - v_1) = (1 + v_1v_2)g\} = \{g \in E \mid v_1g - gv_1 = v_1v_2g\} \\ &= \{g_0 + g_1 \mid g_0 \in E_0, g_1 \in E_1, v_1g_1 - g_1v_1 = v_1v_2g_0 \text{ and } v_1v_2g_1 = 0\} \\ &= \{g_0 + g_1 \mid g_0 \in E_0, 2g_1 - v_2g_0 \in E_0v_1\} \subseteq E_0 + E_0v_1 + E_0v_2. \end{aligned}$$

The entries of $A_{2,1}$ are in

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{2,1} &= \{g \in E \mid (1 + v_1)g(1 - v_1) = (1 - v_1v_2)g\} = \{g \in E \mid v_1g - gv_1 = -v_1v_2g\} \\ &= \{g_0 + g_1 \mid g_0 \in E_0, g_1 \in E_1, v_1g_1 - g_1v_1 = -v_1v_2g_0 \text{ and } v_1v_2g_1 = 0\} \\ &= \{g_0 + g_1 \mid g_0 \in E_0, 2g_1 + v_2g_0 \in E_0v_1\} \subseteq E_0 + E_0v_1 + E_0v_2 \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, we obtain that the shape of $\mathrm{M}_n(E, \sigma, Q(d, n))$ is the following:

$$\mathrm{M}_n(E, \sigma, Q(d, n)) = \left[\begin{array}{cc} E_0 + E_0 v_1 & \Omega_{1,2} \\ \Omega_{2,1} & E_0 + E_0 v_1 \end{array} \right]$$

with diagonal blocks of sizes $d \times d$ and $(n - d) \times (n - d)$. In view of the Lie nilpotency of E (of index 2), the application of Theorem 4.4 gives that any matrix $A \in \mathrm{M}_n(E, \sigma, Q(d, n))$ satisfies a right Cayley-Hamilton identity of degree n^2 with (right) coefficients from $E_0 + E_0 v_1$. $\square$

REFERENCES

[Do1] M. Domokos, *Eulerian polynomial identities and algebras satisfying a standard identity*, Journal of Algebra 169(3) (1994), 913-928.

[Do2] M. Domokos, *Cayley-Hamilton theorem for* 2×2 *matrices over the Grassmann algebra*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 133 (1998), 69-81.

[K] A. R. Kemer, *Ideals of Identities of Associative Algebras,* Translations of Math. Monographs, Vol. 87 (1991), AMS, Providence, Rhode Island.

[S1] J. Szigeti, *New determinants and the Cayley-Hamilton theorem for matrices over Lie nilpotent rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125 (1997), 2245-2254.

[S2] J. Szigeti, *On the characteristic polynomial of supermatrices*, Israel Journal of Mathematics Vol. 107 (1998), 229-235.

[SvW] J. Szigeti and L. van Wyk, *Determinants for* $n \times n$ *matrices and the symmetric Newton formula in the* 3×3 *case,* Linear and Multilinear Algebra, Vol. 62, No. 8 (2014), 1076-1090.

Institute of Mathematics, University of Miskolc, Miskolc, Hungary 3515
E-mail address: `matjeno@uni-miskolc.hu`